



- The Logic of Conducting Experiments
- Experiments
  - True Experiments
  - Problems with Experiments
  - Variables
  - Miscellaneous Issues
  - Experimental Variations
  - Quasi-Experiments
  - Validity Threats

The Logic of Conducting Experiments

Experiments offer the best known scientific way to establish causality or causal relationship between variables.

Three conditions have to be met for proving that and event A (presumed cause) actually causes event B (presumed effect):

## The Logic of Conducting Experiments

Conditions for causal relationship between cause & effect variables (e.g., A causes B)

1. Co-occurrence (co-variation) Both A and B must be observable and measurable, and that some sort of relationship exists between the cause and the effect (if A then B, if not A then not B)

2. Sequence (temporal precedence) Cause must come first then the effect second (A precedes B)

3. Elimination of alternative explanations Eliminate other possible or plausible causes Alternative hypotheses are tested

Variables	
Independent V.	Dependent V.
Manipulated by the experimenter	Outcome of the manipulation
Cause	Effect
Experimental V.	Outcome V.
Predictor V.	Response V.
Manipulated V.	Criterion V.



Experiments (The Simple Experiment)				
IND. V. Treatment D. V. D. V. Observation 1 Observation 2				
Experimenter Group	0	Т	0	
Control Group	0		0	
	Pretest		Posttest	

Experiments: The o	one-shot case study	
No pretest: what is the problem ?		
T	reatment Observation	
Experimenter Group	ТО	
No original observation to compare O with; how could one say O changed ? Misleading results		

Experiments: One-group pretest-posttest design		
With pretest, no control group: what is the problem ? Treatment		
Observation 1 Observation 2		
Experimenter O T O Group		
Perhaps extraneous variables played a role in altering O2; i.e. change in O2 is not necessarily a result of the treatment (IN.V.)		





## Variables

Extraneous V.

Is any variable that may have an influence on the effect of the experimental manipulation

One needs to control the effects of the Extraneous V.



Controlling the Extraneous Variables:

- 1. Eliminate or hold extraneous variables constant
- 2. Measure the extraneous variables to take them into account in the analysis
- 3. Use a <u>control group</u> or control conditions

Experiments (The Simple Experiment)				
Treatment				
Ob	servation	1	Observation 2	
Experimenter Group	0	Т	0	
Control Group	0		0	
	Pretest		Posttest	

Random Assignment	
Assigning subjects in random to both experimental and control groups to ensure equivalency of both groups in terms of the dependent variable	
Random assignment is different from random selection (in sampling)	

Experiments (The Simple Experiment)		
Treatment		
Observation 1	Observation 2	
Experimenter Group	ТО	
Control Group	Ο	
	Posttest Only	
Pretest can be cancelled only if the 2 groups are guaranteed to be equivalent by random assignment		



Components of the Basic Experiment

- 1. Independent and dependent variables
- 2. Pretesting and posttesting
- 3. Experimental and control groups

**Experimental Designs** 

Between-Subject Design

Randomly assign a group of subjects to one condition and another group of subjects to the other condition

Within-Subject Design

Assign the same group of subjects for both conditions of the experiment

Hawthorne	Effect
-----------	--------

Possible effect of the experiment itself rather than of the experimental treatment

Need control group or Placebo (e.g. sugar pill in medical research)

#### **Experimental Variations**

- 1.One group pretest-posttest
- 2.Control group pretest-posttest (two group)
- 3.Randomized Solomon four-group design
- 4.Randomized control-group posttest only
- 5.Nonrandomized control-group pretestposttest
- 6.Counterbalanced treatments
- 7.One-group time-series
- 8.Control-group time-series

## **Quasi-Experiments**

Lacks one of the critical components of the true experiment

Either

Random Assignment is not possible; i.e. non equivalent groups

Or

Lack of control over extraneous variables

## Threats to Validity

### **Internal Validity**

The extent to which changes in the dependent variable can be attributed to the independent variable, rather to an extraneous variable; Degree to which a procedure measures what it is supposed to measure

## **External Validity**

The extent to which we can generalize the results of a research study to people, settings, times, measures, & characteristics other than those used in the study

# Threats to Internal Validity

- 1. History (effect of historical outside event)
- 2. Maturation (subjects growing older, tired, hungry, etc.)
- 3. Testing (Pretesting or posttesting influencing behavior)
- 4. Instrumentation (measurement instrument between pretest & posttest, comparable)
- 5. Statistical regression (extreme measures of dependent variable)
- 6. Selection biases (equivalent, comparable groups)

## Threats to Internal Validity

- 7. Experimental mortality (subjects dropping off)
- 8. Causal time-order (did stimulus cause dependent v. or dependent v. caused changes in stimulus; rare)
- 9. Diffusion or imitation of treatments (passing info from experimental group to control group)
- 10. Compensation (effect of compensation on control group)
- 11. Compensatory rivalry (deprived control group try to beat special experimental group)
- 12. Demoralization (deprived control group give up)

# Threats to External Validity

 Interaction between the testing situation & the experimental stimulus (testing interaction with the stimulus) – groups took pretest in experiment, groups will not take pretest in real life.